

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
10 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

11 FRANCIE E. MOELLER, and others,
12
13 Plaintiffs,
14 v.
15 TACO BELL CORP.,
16 Defendant.

Case No. 02-cv-05849 PJH (NC)

ORDER RE: DISCOVERY DISPUTE

Re: Dkt. No. 709

17
18 On January 22, 2013, plaintiffs filed a discovery letter brief requesting a protective
19 order prohibiting certain depositions and requesting the Court to compel production of
20 discovery from defendant Taco Bell. Although the parties apparently conferred by phone,
21 plaintiffs unilaterally filed a four page brief that does not describe Taco Bell's positions or
22 proposed compromises regarding these issues. Accordingly, Taco Bell must submit a letter
23 brief of no more than four pages responding to plaintiffs' letter brief by January 25, 2013 at
24 5:00 p.m.

25 IT IS SO ORDERED.

26 Date: January 22, 2013

27 
Nathanael M. Cousins
United States Magistrate Judge
28